NZ LISTENER, November 3, 1979

Benedictine double

AFTER JOSTLING for the lead from the word go with several other Masters, I managed to win the Benedictine International Tournament jointly with Welshman George Botterill on seven points out of nine. George lost early on to David Strauss (the game was given in last week's column) but recovered well to catch up with the leaders who were mainly myself, Grandmaster Yair Kraidman of Israel, GM Rosendo Balinas of the Philippines, International Master Carlos Cuartas of Colombia and IM Nigel Birnbohm of Israel.

I stumbled in round six with a defeat at the hands of Birnbohm, rated Elo 2460, and only an unexpected three victories in a row allowed me to catch up with the then leader Botterill. In fact, I overtook him on tie-break to win the Benedictine liqueur trophy, and the largest portion of the spon-

sor's product.

Personally, winning at Manchester is very pleasing for me, as I have now done the "double" - first at the Lloyds Bank Masters and first in the Benedictine within the space of three weeks. As these are the second and third strongest internationals in Britain, this puts me in a challenging position to win the £2000 Cutty Sark Grand Prix for the most successful player on the British circuit.

Results of the 1979 Benedictine International: 1st equal, M. Chandler (New Zealand) and G. Botterill (Wales), 7 points out of 9; 3rd equal, C. Cuartas (Colombia); N. Birnbohm (Israel), R. Balinas (Philippines), 61/2; 6th equal, Y. Kraidman (Israel), M. Petursson (Iceland), K. Niklasson (Sweden), G. Iskov (Denmark), N.

Short (England), 6.

After losing with White to Birnbohm I resigned myself to a minor placing, but had my share of good luck in the next rounds. In the following struggle, some possibly over-ambitious play saddled me with a passive defence, but a timely pawn sacrifice turned the tables.

SICILIAN DEFENCE

M. CHANDLER G. ISKOV **New Zealand** 1. e4

Four of my nine games in this tournament were Grunfeld defences, as White or Black, so in this game I insisted upon some variety with a king's pawn open-

ng.	!	
1		c 5
2.	·c3	Nf6
3.	e5	Nd5
4.	d4	Nc6
5.	Nf3	c×d4
6.	c×d4	d6
7.	Bc4	Nb6
	Bb5	 d5?!
9.	0-0	Bf5

Black's problem in this variation is where to develop his queen's bishop. On 9. .. . Bg4 10. h3 he must exchange it off, for on 10. . . . Bh5? 11. e6! is very

10. Nh4 11. Nc3 12. Bd3!? Bd7 **a6**



Here 12... N×d4 can be met well by 13.Be3 Nc6 14.B×b6 Q×b6 15.N×d5 regaining the pawn. Interesting is 13.Qh5 in this line with the devastating threat of 14.Ng6!, a move which crops up in a number of variations. Hence Iskov's next move.

13. a4

I was aware this left a nasty weakness on b4, but the threat of 14.a5 winning the d-pawn forces Iskov now to play 13... e6, locking in his queen's bishop and creating vast black-square weaknesses.

13.		e6
14.	Nf3	Nb4
15.	Bg5	Be7
16.	B×e7	Qxe7
17.	b3	Nc8
18.	Bb1?	Na7
19.	Qd2	0-0-0

This simple move gives Black an excellent game. My 18th move is the culprit; soon the bishop has to be centralised again.

20. Nd1	Kb8
21. Ne3	h5
22. h4	Rc8
23. Bd3	Nac6
24. Rab1	Rc71
25. Rfc1	N×d3
26. Q×d3	Rhc8



Iskov has made good use of his b4square control, and now White must submit to passive defence on the queenside instead of attacking on the king-

side. 27. Qd1 Qb4 28. Nc2 Qb6 29. Qe1

29... Na5 30.Na1 R×c1 31.R×c1 RXc1 32.QXc1 NXb3 33.Qb1! BXa4 34.Nd2 nets White a piece, although even that is still far from clear!

Ka7

Be8?!

Correct is 30...N×e5! 31.N×e5 \times c2 32.R \times c2 R \times c2 33.N \times d7 Qc7 ch 34. Ne5 f6 regaining the piece with considerable advantage. Fortunately for me

Iskov was aiming to win a pawn — a scheme against which I had prepared a most deceptive resource.

Qd1 Na5 Nati R×c1 33. R×c1 34. Q×c1 RXc1 NXb3 N×b3 Q×b3 36. Qc5 ch!



I played this instantly, and now it dawned on Iskov that his ending "a pawn up" after 36...Qb6 37.a5!! Q×c5 38.d×c5 is actually worse for Black, maybe losing! After 38...Kb8 39.Nd4! Kc7 40.Kg3 Kd7 41.Kf4 Ke7 42.Kg5 White has a colossal advantage based on his gorgeous knight on d4 which dominates the entire board, his active king penetrating on the dark squares, and also his ability to create an outside passed pawn! The pawn minus is nothing, eg 42...Ba4 43.f3 Bd1 44.g4 h×g4 45.f×g4 followed by h5 with a passed pawn difficult for Black to stop.

Iskov avoided this exchange, but now.

White is clearly on top. Kb8 Qb5 38. Kg3l 39. Kf4 Qc6 **b6**

Black cannot capture with 41...Q×b6 immediately because of 42.Qe7 ch.

42. Nb3 Q×b6 Q×b3 43. Qe7 44. Q×d7 ch Ka8 45. Q×f7 46. Qe8 ch Qb2 Kb7 47. Qd7 ch Kb8 48. Kg5 49. Q×e6 QXf2 Q×g2 ch Qf2 ch 50. Kf6 51. K×g6 QXd4

The adjourned position. The queen ending is a straightforward win for White, thanks to his fast-as-lightning

e-pawn. 52. Qd6 ch Qe4 ch Qe3 ch K×h5 56. Kg5 Qe3 ch 57. Kg6 58. Kg7 59. Kf8 Qe4 ch Qg4 ch Qf5 ch Qg6 ch Qf5

This was all more or less my adjournment analysis. Now a pretty way of finishing the game occurred to me.

62. Kd8 Qf6 ch 63. Qe7 chl 64. K×e7 Q×e7 ch Resigns

Indeed Black gains a new queen even with check! — but after 65...d3 66.e7 d2 67.e8 = Q d1 = Q ch 68.Qd7 ch!Q×d7 ch 69.K×d7 a5 70.h5 I queen my rook-pawn one tempo ahead of Iskov thus controlling his queening square!

MURRAY CHANDLER